

# MULTIPATH FILTERING IN THE SPACEBORNE **ENVIRONMENT: SIMULATION STUDY**



90

60°

30°

0°

-30

-60°

-90

l

Tomas Beran, Donghyun Kim and Richard B. Langlev

Geodetic Research Laboratory • Department of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering University of New Brunswick, New Brunswick, Canada.

#### Methodology **Data Description** Introduction **GPS** Positioning Low-cost spaceborne GPS positioning applications will not use Low Earth Orbiter Groundtrack (3 hour sample) Position estimates of the CHAMP satellite, computed with the extensive GPS hardware to mitigate the multipath effects. To achieve unbiased position solutions, multipath effects have to be University of New Brunswick GPS single-receiver positioning 60° 90° 120° 150° 180° 210° 240° 270° 300° 330° package have been used. reduced in the processing software. Spaceborne multipath 90 ated Multipath Measurements simulation studies will demonstrate some of the multipath characteristics. Hatch-type filtering with different weighting schemes can reduce C/A-code multipath effects. To simulate the multipath effect on the code range a non-coherent 60 dot product discriminator was assumed in the analysis. For this 30 discriminator, multipath error due to a single dominant reflector was computed. For nearby reflectors (multipath error < 30 m for the C/A-0 code) it was assumed that the multipath error is much smaller than the chip width. Antenna gain pattern and signal polarization were not Multipath Effects -30 Multipath refers to a phenomenon whereby a signal reaches an taken into consideration antenna via two or more paths. Typically, an antenna receives the direct signal and one or more of its reflections from objects in the -60 Hatch-type Filtering Carrier smoothing of code multipath and noise, Hatch [1982]: -90 vicinity of the antenna 30 60° 90° 120° 150° 180° 210° 240° 270° 300° 330° 0 0 $\hat{M}_{CA} = w_a M_{CA} + w_b (\hat{M}_{CA} + M_{\Phi} - M_{\Phi}), \ \hat{M}_{CA} = M_{CA}$ Impact of multipath depends on: **Orbit Parameters** Data Availability Where $M_{CA}$ semi-major axis = 6823.287 km Observations start: 0:00:00 UTC 5 Jan 2002 · Amplitude of the reflected signal relative to direct signal. is the C/A-code multipath plus noise eccentricity = 0.004001inclination = 87.277 deg rev/day = 15.40 Delay of the reflected signal relative to direct signal $M_{\Phi}$ Phase of the reflected signal relative to direct signal is the L1 carrier-phase multipath plus noise, Observations end · Rate of change of the relative phase of the two signals 23:59:50 LITC 5 Jan 2002 $W_a, W_b$ are weighting coefficients. velocity| = 7.5 km/s Observation interval: 10 seconds

#### **Multipath Simulation**

## Multipath Geometry



#### Multipath Simulator Inputs

 Direct signal elevation angle (Plot 1) Direct signal azimuth angle (Plot 2).

Multipath Simulator Parameters Height of antenna above the reflection rface = 0.5 m

Reflection coefficient = 0.5

Plots show one arc of 203 epochs of PRN

Plot 3: Reflected signal azimuth Plot 4: Reflected signal elevation Plot 5: Reflection point antenna phase centre horizontal distance



Plot 1: Simulated C/A-code multipath time series

Plot 2: Simulated C/A-code noise time series: white noise with standard deviation of 50 cm, scaled by elevation angle

Plot 3: Simulated C/A-code multipath plus C/A-code noise time series

#### **Filtering Process**

## Weighting Scheme 1



# $w_{\mu} = 1/M, w_{\mu} = (M-1)/M$

Where M is the number of epochs in the filter Plot 1: Filtered C/A-code noise time sori Plot 2: Filtered C/A-code multipath plus noise time series Plot 3: Effect of the C/A-code multipath on the filter. Difference between plots 1 and

#### Comments

dataset





Weighting Scheme 2



# $w_a = w_1 / (w_1 + w_2)$ $w_{b} = w_{2} / (w_{1} + w_{2})$



 $w_2 = 1/(\sigma^2(\hat{n}_{CA}) + 2\sigma^2(n_{\Phi}))$ 

Plot 1: Filtered C/A-code noise time series

Plot 2: Filtered C/A-code multipath plus noise time series.

Plot 3: Effect of the C/A code multipath on the filter. Difference between plots 1 and 2.

#### Comments:

Convergence to near 0 mean takes about 6 minutes. Filter divergence at the end of the filtering interval is about 2 cm



Hatch-type filter with weighting scheme 2 performs better than the Hatch-type filter with weighting scheme 1

Alternative multipath mitigation techniques

 Fixed-length filter, Multipath de-weighting.

Conclusions

Spectral analysis-determined multipath frequency removal

Networks of Centres of Excellence / Réseaux de centres d'excellence

Acknowledgements

La géomatique pour des interventions et des décisions éclairées / Geomatics for Informed Decisions

We would like to thank the GEOIDE Network of Centres of Excellence (project ENV#14) for funding and GFZ Potsdam for the CHAMP GPS